

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/649,890 08/28/2003		08/28/2003	Yuusaku Ohta	2003-1214A	7816		
513	7590	06/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
WENDER 2033 K STR	•	ND & PONACK, L	PEIKARI, BEHZAD				
SUITE 800		ν.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHING	TON, DC	20006-1021	2189	2189			
		·	DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	-					
		10/649,89	90	OHTA ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		B. James	Peikari	2189						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no evo od will apply and wi ute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is n vance except	on-final. for formal matters, pro		e merits is					
Dispositi	on of Claims									
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) ☑ 8) □ Applicati 9) □	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2,4-7 and 14-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 3 and 8-13 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on 28 August 2003 is/are applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing specifics) including the corresponding the corresp	rawn from co	equirement. pted or b)⊠ objected for being the properties of the	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/8/04</u> .	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/649,890 Page 2

Art Unit: 2189

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because the view numbers are not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.84(u)(1). For example, "FIG. 2A" should replace "FIG. 2a", "FIG. 2B" should replace "FIG 2b", etc. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 15, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In these claims, the meaning and scope of what is meant by "at least part of the right" to duplicate content is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Note MPEP 2106.

To correct this claim in accordance with MPEP 2106:

(A) in line 1, between "program" and "for", insert "tangibly embodied in a computer-readable medium", and

Application/Control Number: 10/649,890 Page 4

Art Unit: 2189

(B) in line 5, replace "comprising" with ", when run, causes the networked apparatus to perform the following steps".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Alve et al., U.S. 2003/0076955 A1.

Alve et al. describe a networked content distribution system (note Figure 1), just as in the claims, including judging which devices are in or out of a given group or groups (note Figure 1, elements 10, 30, 40 and Figures 2A and 2B) and flexibly and dynamically setting a predetermined number of authorized duplications for a device or group. Note, for example, paragraph [0045].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/649,890

Art Unit: 2189

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 5

10. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alve et al., US 2003/0076955 A1.

The features of claim 14, including a clock and a stored predetermined date-and-time, and control for updating the predetermined number when the clock reaches the stored predetermined date-and-time were not explicitly taught by Alve et al., however, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate these features in the Alve et al. sytem since it would have provided greater control of authorizations and thus greater efficiency, and one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have recognized that this could have occurred in the Alve et al. system whenever an authorization period came to an end – for example, at the end of a yearly subscription the predetermined number would be set to zero.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 3 and 8-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,890 Page 6

Art Unit: 2189

12. All of the claims in this application would be allowable if amended to include:

- (A) the language of claim 3, lines 6-8, in each independent claim;
- (B) a correction and/or explanation of "part of the right to duplicate" in claims15 and 17;
- (C) the suggested language provided in Section 6 above, to make claim 20 statutory.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Peikari whose telephone number is (571) 272-4185. The examiner is generally available between 7:00 am and 7:30 pm, EST, Monday through Wednesday, and between 5:30 am and 4:00 pm on Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Reginald Bragdon, can be reached at (571) 272-4204. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,890

Art Unit: 2189

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Page 7

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B. James Peikari Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2189

6/23/06